

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/661,375	09/13/2000	Hannes Eberle	23453-020	8034
909	7590 11/29/2006		EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP			LERNER, MARTIN	
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2626	
•			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination				
	09/661,375	EBERLE ET AL.				
		Art Unit				
	Martin Lerner	2626				
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC						
Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review						
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 10/18/2006.						
1. Improper Request – The Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following reason(s):						
<ul> <li>☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request.</li> <li>☐ The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate.</li> <li>☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.</li> <li>☐ Other:</li> </ul>						
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.						
2. Proceed to Board of Patent A held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month from the receipt of the notice appeal brief is extendible under 37 C of the notice of appeal, as applicable	appeal because there is at least in accordance with 37 CFR 41. om mailing this decision, or the e of appeal, whichever is greate CFR 1.136 based upon the mail	st one actual issue for 37. The time period f balance of the two-m r. Further, the time po	r appeal. Applicant for filing an appeal nonth time period eriod for filing of the			
☐ The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 27-36, 38-45 Claim(s) withdrawn from considerations.		ollows:				
3. Allowable application – A con Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution applicant at this time.	nference has been held. The rej n on the merits remains closed.	jection is withdrawn a . No further action is	ind a Notice of required by			
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conf			nd a new Office			

All participants:

(1) David R. Hudspeth.

(3)\_\_\_\_

DAVID HUDSPETH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000

(2) <u>Martin Lerner</u>.

mostly Leruf

(4)\_\_\_\_.